



# National Ski Patrol Central Division Senior Program

Aid Room Management Module Quality  
Assurance Form

# Table of Contents

**AID ROOM MANAGEMENT MODULE QA FORM** .....3

## Document Revision Record

Revision	Description	Date	Author

## Aid Room Management Module QA Form

Aid Room Management Module Evaluation Quality Assurance Feedback Form  
(To be completed by visiting division staff)

Page 1 of 1

Date:

Region:

Visiting Division Supervisor or Region Administrator Completing Form:

Location:

Region Patroller Administrator:

Number of Candidates and current skill level:

Patroller:

Alpine:

Senior Alpine:

Other:

1. Were the Suggested Aid Room Management evaluation team members present?
2. Circle evaluators, At least 3:
  - a. 1-2 Senior
  - b. evaluators from the candidate's local area. Plus one or more of the following:
    - i. Region Patroller Administrator
    - ii. Region OEC Administrator
    - iii. Division OEC Supervisor or any appointed? OEC IT from another area.
3. Did the scenarios provide the candidates a fair opportunity to display leadership, problem management and decisionmaking skill within the Aid Room Environment?
4. Did the scenarios portray true-to-life incidents? If not, why?
5. Overall impressions of the scenarios' presentation and organization.

Page 2 of 2

6. What evaluation method was used? Select method and answer any associated questions.
  - a. A dramatic setting, with actors as patients. Including appropriate supplies and furnishing.
    - i. If patients were used, Did the patients portray accurate behavior for the scenarios?
    - ii. Was the behavior consistent throughout the day? If not, why?
    - iii. Was the moulage properly applied? Was it reapplied as needed?
    - iv. If helpers were assigned to a scenario were they coached correctly and were they consistent throughout the day? If not, why?
    - v. Overall impression of the patients and helpers?
    - vi. How was the panel discussion conducted?
    - vii. Was the candidate given any advance information to allow thought time before responding?
    - viii. Was the candidate given adequate time to respond, ask questions, and comment?
  - b. A review panel discussion of the scenario with the candidate.
  - c. A detailed, written action plan of a scenario by the candidate was reviewed by the evaluators.
    - i. How was the review conducted?
    - ii. Was the candidate given an opportunity to reply or discuss any questions?
7. Did the method chosen meet needs of the candidate?
8. Were the candidates well prepared? What methods were used to prepare the candidate?
9. How were the final results tallied and then presented to the candidates?
10. What was the pass/fail ratio of the candidates?
11. General comments of the evaluation:
12. Are there any recommendations to improve this event?