NSP PROPOSAL FORM

Revised 01/97 Do Not Use Previous Versions

Proposal Number: S16 P002			
Assigned Committee:			

The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National

Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I					
(We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).					
Proposed by: Patrick Perlman	Position: Certified Program	Advisor	Date: 3/3/2016		
I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: ☐Staff comments (if any) attached ☐Nat. Pgm. Director: ☐Nat. Office Staff: ☐Others: Certified Staff					
The following references are relevant to this NSP Bylaws:	proposal: ISP Strategic Plan:	□NSP Policie	s & Procedures:		
I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) Short-Term (this fiscal year):\$0.00 Long-Term (sustained expenses):\$0.00					
The Executive Director: agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR anticipates the following expenses: Long-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses):					
Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them):					
See attached					
Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary):					
The current certified lifetime recognition process is fairly vague. The attached update to the certified manual with associated form and scoring matrix clarifies how to nominate a member for this recognition. This process allows for a member to be judged on his/her accomplishments to both the NSP and Certified program. Nominees will be judged anonymously to prevent emotion and popularity to taint the decision process.					
Committee Revision of Proposal (if any):					
Committee Action: Accepted as Drafted	Accepted as Revised	Rejected	☐Withdrawn		
Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes	S:				
Final Board Action: Adopted as Reported	ed Adopted as Ame	ended	Defeated		
Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - 8 Against - 0 Abstain - 0					