NSP PROPOSAL FORM	Proposal Number: F1	4 P003
Revised 01/97 Do Not Use Previous Versions	Assigned Committee:	
The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i>		
Proposed by: Patrick Perlman Position: Certified Prog	ram Advisor	Date: 7/24/2014
I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: Staff comments (if any) attached Nat. Pgm. Director: Nat. Office Staff: National Staff: Others: Longfellow-Jones Chris Raudabaugh, Guy Day, Eric Gesteland, Mike		
The following references are relevant to this proposal: NSP Bylaws: NSP Strategic Plan: NSP Policies & Procedures:		
I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary)		
The Executive Director: agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR anticipates the following expenses: Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them):		
Update to the Central Division Certified manual to comply with S14-P008 regarding rotation of the Annual Certified evaluation among regions.		
Updates to 'approved' areas that have been left out of previous manual updates.		
Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary):		
The Central division board passed S14-P008 in April 2014. This proposal states: "Develop a rotation among the four Regions (Northern Michigan, Western, Ohio and North Central) that currently hold the event for the riding (skiing/snowboarding) modules. The rotation would be set up so that the Region has the ability to host the event once every four years. At this event the riding modules will be offered based on acceptable snow conditions along with any of the other modules needed by the Division/Regions." Lutsen approved as Evaluation area in 2009. Chestnut approved as Recertification area in 2005. Welch approved as Recertification area in xxxx. Mt. Frontenac has been closed for several years.		
Committee Revision of Proposal (if any):		
Change that the qualification clinics could be held at any senior rated hill.		
Committee Action: Accepted as Drafted Accepted as Revise	d Rejected	Withdrawn
Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes:		
Final Board Action: Adopted as Reported	Amended	Defeated
Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - 8	Against - 0 A	Abstain -