

NSP PROPOSAL FORM <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions		Proposal Number: F13-P001
		Assigned Committee:
The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i>		
Proposed by: Patrick Perlman	Position: Certified Program Advisor	Date: 8/23/2013
I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others: Reviewed by Certified staff		
The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Policies & Procedures:		
I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year):\$0.00 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):\$0.00		
The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): Update to the Central Division Certified Manual – See attached.		
Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): The Certified staff wants to uphold the quality and integrity of the Certified program. The Senior program has a minimum terrain standard that is used for senior events. There is currently not a minimum standard for the Certified program. The Certified staff from the Central Division overwhelmingly approved a rubric that addressed this issue. The attached proposal reflects the date from this rubric and integrates it into the Central Division Certified manual.		
Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): Friendly amendment to add: "Criteria will be verified by the certified staff from the beginning of the proposed run to the end." Note: Fall Meeting 9/7/13: Proposal reviewed, friendly amendment added, discussion result: Certified Supervisor to go back to committee and validate measurements, then provide list of who qualifies; address error of measurement on starting and ending points and variability of terrain over time. Will be added to Spring Agenda.		
Committee Action: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn		
Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: Accepted by executive committee to be presented to full board.		
Final Board Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated		
Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - <input type="checkbox"/> Against - <input type="checkbox"/> Abstain - <input type="checkbox"/>		

Approved Ski Areas

The following ski areas in the Central Division have been approved by the Certified Staff to hold qualification clinics, staff recertification and the annual evaluation.

The following areas are approved to host a **Certified Evaluation, Staff Recertification and Qualification Clinics:**

- Boyne Mountain
- Indianhead
- Marquette Mountain
- Mt. Ripley
- Nubs Nob
- Perfect North Slopes

The following areas are approved to host **Staff Recertification and Qualification Clinics (only):**

- Cascade Mountain
- Mt. Frontenac

The following areas are approved to host **Qualification Clinics (only):**

- Chestnut Mountain
- Crystal Mountain
- Welsh Village

Approving New Ski Areas to Host Certified Evaluations

To host a Certified Evaluation, a ski area must meet the following criteria and be chosen by a simple majority vote during the annual Certified meeting.

Note: This criteria and specific instructions for this section are still being developed.

- Slope criteria – length and degree of slope.
- Local area management receptiveness.
- Local area amenities necessary to host an evaluation. (Meeting room and quiet places for testing)
- Local lodging availability.

Approved Terrain

The terrain used for the certified toboggan evaluation must meet or exceed any one of the following criteria:

- At least 750' long with a minimum 35% grade
- At least 1000' long with a minimum 31% grade
- At least 1250' long with a minimum 26% grade

The terrain used for the certified toboggan recertification must meet or exceed the following criteria:

- At least 500' long with a minimum 30% grade

Any area that is currently used in the Central division for senior evaluations can be used for qualification clinics.

- Certified candidates should be advised that the terrain used for the evaluation may be steeper and/or longer

Measuring System

The above measurements should be taken from the US Geological Survey web site. The data should further be confirmed by a physical measurement when available.

Other

The following should also be taken into consideration when deciding on where to hold the annual certified evaluation.

- Local area management receptiveness.
- Local area amenities necessary to host an evaluation.
(Meeting room and quiet places for testing)
- Local lodging availability.

The area chosen for the annual evaluation will be voted on during the annual certified meeting. A simple majority is needed. Selection should be 2-3 years in advance with an alternate chosen for the following season.