

<b>NSP PROPOSAL FORM</b> <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions		Proposal Number: S12 P001
		Assigned Committee:
The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <b><i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i></b>		
Proposed by: John Thomas	Position: Western Region Director	Date: 02/24/12
Proposed by:	Position:	Date:
I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> Others:		
The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSP Policies & Procedures:		
I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, <b>OR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them):  The NSP-C Ski School needs to operate as a ski school and train their members for certifications. The ski school needs to create programs which develop members and non-members for Level 1/2/3 exams. Clinics need to move throughout the Division to facilitate this training. Current certified ski school staff can/should be utilized for local clinics throughout the Division. The STWs must have Level 1/2/3 preps available for candidates to select from. It is recommended each group have a minimum of 4 candidates; however, for efficiency purposes, groups can be combined to meet the 4-candidate requirement. The NSP-C ski school can use current level 3 PSIA/AASI instructors to facilitate this training. With the exception of one Region all of them have at least one level 3. The NSP-C ski school director should make sure a facilitator is assigned in each region to conduct these clinics. (see below)		
Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary):  The ski school needs to build and motivate its members for certification. Current staff members need to be utilized to assist new members on improving their skills. Every region except I has a minimum of one Level 3. These Level 3 individuals should be utilized to train and assist in an official manner. A candidate should not have to search for help.		
Committee Revision of Proposal (if any):		
Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn		
Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes:		
Final Board Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated		
Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - Against - Abstain -		

**The NSP-C Ski School should track and maintain records that Region clinics are being conducted. The NSP-C ski School director should encourage the level 3 trainer to obtain PSIA trainer accreditation. This will allow the NSP-C Ski School staff to continue to grow and be able to replace members as necessary.**

<b>NSP PROPOSAL FORM</b> <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions		Proposal Number: S12 P002
		Assigned Committee: <i>Certified Advisor and ADD</i>
The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <b><i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i></b>		
Proposed by: Don Steen	Position: Southern Region Director	Date: 02/24/12
Proposed by:	Position:	Date:
I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: X <input type="checkbox"/> Others: Chestnut area management		
The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSP Policies & Procedures:		
I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, <b>OR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them):  <p>Proposal is to add Chestnut Ski area as a Certified event host area. To accommodate adding Chestnut the Certified event would rotate around the Division starting with the 2013 Certified event. Rotation will start at Nubs Knobs in 2013, Chestnut in 2014, Lutsen in 2015, Perfect North in 2016, Marquette in 2017 and continue to follow this rotation thereafter. In any given year, the next year's hosting area would serve as the backup location if the primary host is unable to host the event. For example, if Chestnut cannot host the event in 2014, Lutsen would become the backup location for hosting the event and we would continue the rotation as stated with the next area in the rotation. The date of the event will be worked out with the hosting area management to accommodate the hosting area's schedule and to allow the best possible conditions for the exam. If for example if the last weekend of February would work better for an area and it's conditions the event could be moved on the calendar or be move to a later date in March.</p>		
Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary):  <p>This is a Division program which needs to be moved around the Division to facilitate candidate recruitment, to assist in sustaining program membership, and to allow patrollers the opportunity to visit the exam closer to their home location.</p> <p>*review current policy/procedure about how host areas are selected.</p>		
Committee Revision of Proposal (if any):		
Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn <i>Proposal was taken to "New Business", See the Meeting Minutes</i>		
Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes:		
Final Board Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated		
Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For -                      Against -                      Abstain -		

<b>NSP PROPOSAL FORM</b> <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions		Proposal Number: S12 P003
		Assigned Committee:
The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <b><i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i></b>		
Proposed by: John Thomas	Position: Western Region Director	Date: 02/24/12
Proposed by:	Position:	Date:
I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> Others:		
The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSP Policies & Procedures:		
I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, <b>OR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them):  The current format of the ASE will continue to be followed with the exception of decreasing the number of exams from current three to one per year and alternating from the east to west side of the lake. Add a component to the exam where the candidate demonstrates skiing movements above the senior level. The candidate should be able to demonstrate dynamic parallel turns on blue and green runs. The regions are responsible for training candidates to be successful at the ASE exam. To help facilitate this training, a sample 3-year progression is provided in a separate document attached to this proposal. To help facilitate this, a new movement analysis component will be added to the STW and ASE exam. The new movement analysis will include progressions on how to improve a movement. See attachment.		
Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary):  A stepping stone process is needed to develop new members within the senior system. The ASE program will provide this stepping stone with the goal the member will obtain a PSIA/AASI Level 2 or higher.		
Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): Amended: <b>'continue the ASE and let the Skills development team to make a recommendation on implementation details at the Fall 2012 board meeting'</b>		
Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn		
Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes:		
Final Board Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated		
Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - 8 Against - 0 Abstain -0 Linda will have the Don prepare a extended ASE program for the Fall 2012 meeting		

The exams will start in Fall of 2013 at east side of Lake Michigan and 2014 will be on the West side of Lake Michigan. The exam will alternate year to year from east to west side of Lake Michigan. Candidates must participate in a region clinic that will review and validate the candidate skills in demonstrating the Senior standard on Senior level terrain for all skills of the exam, Demonstrate movement analysis skills, Demonstrate error correction, Demonstrate the ability to ski above the Senior standard on Senior level terrain and submit their training portfolio from the last three (3) season. Two (2) approvals must be obtained at the region level before a person can attend the ASE Exam. The Region Director and a second person that is selected by the RD are required to approve the person before they can attend the ASE exam. A level 3 PSIA/AASI or approved level 2 (approved by the Division Senior advisor) will provide the training at the Region level. If the Region doesn't have a person available the region has the option of using the NSP-C school personnel. Once the person passes the ASE the certification they are current for three (3) years after the third (3) year the person must attend a ASE the qualification clinic and demonstrate that they have maintain the required skills.

There is a limit of two ASE Candidates per region each year.

A proposed three year training curriculum should be used by all Regions with all Skiing exam staff to maintain calibration and skill level to be a qualified examiner. Below is a sample curriculum

Accredited Senior Evaluator Program  
Proposed three year training plan

Skiing

Cycle A

Homework

Review Skill Pools

Complete written self assessment portfolio

On the hill

Explore skill pools using medium radius turn task

Review Sr skiing requirements

Practice feedback and observation

Personal Skiing

Cycle B

Homework

Review personal ski and movement analysis portfolio. What progress is being made?

On the hill

Accurate and effective demos

Effective seeing

Cause and effect

Personal Skiing

Cycle C

Homework

Review personal portfolio

On the hill

Ski at minimum standard with video

Ski and mimic someone else

MA and feedback verification

Accreditation Status Review

Are you working your portfolio?

Are you fully accredited?

Group leader ready!

<b>NSP PROPOSAL FORM</b> <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions		Proposal Number: S12 P004
		Assigned Committee:
The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <b><i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i></b>		
Proposed by: Dave Schaller	Position: Section Chief W6 Western Region.CD	Date: 03/07/2012
Proposed by:	Position:	Date:
I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others: John Thomas RD		
The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSP Policies & Procedures:		
I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, <b>OR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them):  <p>We need to improve our process to close out classes. I propose a web form that closes the class when required information and data is provided. The current process is manual and can be frustrating for some users.</p>		
Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary):  <p>More efficient and ease of use for the users of the national system.</p>		
Committee Revision of Proposal (if any):		
Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn		
Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes:		
Final Board Action: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated		
Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - 8 Against - 0 Abstain -0		

*JT and Jim will transfer the essence of the proposal to the appropriate national form for National Board consideration and action*