| NSP PROPOSAL FORM Revised 01/97 | Proposal Number: S11 P001 | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Do Not Use Previous Versions | Assigned Committee: | | | |
| The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s). | | | | |
| Proposed by: Ken Meldahl Position: Division Elect | ion Coordinator Date: 3/20/2011 | | | |
| I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: | | | | |
| The following references are relevant to this proposal: ☐NSP Bylaws: Central Division ☐NSP Strategic Plan: ☐NSP Policies & Procedures: | | | | |
| I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | | | |
| The Executive Director:agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR anticipates the following expenses:Short-Term (this fiscal year):Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | | | |
| Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): | | | | |
| 11.4 10 D Each representative must be an active member of the Registration Unit with two or more years of service in the Division. The names and addresses of these representatives shall be made known to the Division Election Coordinator no later than February 1 st per the election schedule found in the current Policies and Procedures of the Central Division, of each year in which the Division Director is to be elected. Compliance shall be the responsibility of the Region Election Coordinator, or the Region Director if no Region Election Coordinator is appointed. Page 57 of 59 | | | | |
| Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as nece | essary): | | | |
| Removal of a date in the Bylaws that conflict with the Election Schedule in the Pol | icies and Procedures | | | |
| Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Committee Action: ☐ Accepted as Drafted ☐ Accepted as Revise | d Rejected Withdrawn | | | |
| Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: | | | | |
| Final Board Action: Adopted as Reported Adopted as | Amended | | | |
| Vote on Final Consideration (if yote count taken): For -8 Against - | 0 Δhetain -0 | | | |

First Reading 4/10/11 Frank made PnP revisions for review by the board 4/16/11. Second reading is still needed.

Proposal Number: S11 P002 **NSP PROPOSAL FORM** Revised 01/97 Do Not Use Previous Versions Assigned Committee: The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s). Proposed by: John Thomas Position: Western Region Director Date: 3/17/2011 Proposed by: Position: Date: I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: Staff comments (if any) attached □Nat. Pgm. Director: Nat. Office Staff: ☐ National Staff: Others: The following references are relevant to this proposal: ■NSP Strategic Plan: NSP Policies & Procedures: I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): The Executive Director: agrees with proposal expense estimate, **OR** anticipates the following expenses: Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): V. Candidates holding a Division, Region, or Section position during the time of their candidacy shall not be permitted to do any campaigning while attending any meeting, session or any other Registration Unit activity in an official capacity or where the cost of attendance in travel or living expense is reimbursed wholly or in part by the Division, Region-or, Section or Patrol funds. Nor shall any campaigning be allowed in any communication, verbal or written, which is reimbursed by Division, Region or, Section or Patrol funds. No Division, Region or, Section or Patrol funds shall be used to reimburse any candidate for campaign related expenses, i.e. all campaign expenses will be paid for by the candidate. (F09 P003) this is from 10/15/2010 16 of 59 Revised 9-12-10 FWC Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): The campaigning for an NSP office should not be supported from funds other then the personal candidate's funds. It could create a fund raising and campaign that the person with the most money spent would only be able to win the election and would eliminate qualified candidates that don't have the funds available to campaign. The other issue it could create a process similar to the government elections. We are a volunteer organization and we should encourage all qualified members to feel they can run for the any of the elected positions. We could take this one more step and operate like the National board elections and only allow campaigning from the Division WEB site. Don't allow any personal mailings, or phone calls. Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): □ Accepted as Drafted Rejected □ Withdrawn Committee Action: ☐Accepted as Revised Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: Friendly amendment to change 'Patrol' to 'Registration Unit' MOVED: Bob L SECOND: Tony W Final Board Action: Adopted as Reported Defeated Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For -8 Against -0 Abstain -0

| NSP PROPOSAL FORM Revised 01/97 | Proposal Number: S11 P003 | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Do Not Use Previous Versions | Assigned Committee: | | | |
| The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s). | | | | |
| | ern Region Director Date: 3/17/2011 | | | |
| Proposed by: Position: | Date: | | | |
| I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: Staff comments (if any) attached Nat. Pgm. Director: Nat. Office Staff: National Staff: Others: | | | | |
| The following references are relevant to this proposal: XNSP Bylaws: NSP Strategic Plan: NSP Policies & Procedures: | | | | |
| I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | | | |
| The Executive Director: agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR anticipates the following expenses: Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | | | |
| Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): | | | | |
| VII. Failure to comply with these rules ean will result in the removal of a candidate from the election. This action will be reviewed first by the Election Coordinator, then by the Division Legal Advisor, and finally by the Division Executive Committee, excluding any members who are candidates for the office in question. To help comply with the election rules all voting members will be emailed the election rules the same day the ballots are mailed. | | | | |
| This is from: 10/15/2010 page 16 of 59 Revised 9-12-10 FWC | | | | |
| Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): | | | | |
| The current wording states that a person can result in removal which doesn't allow enforcement of the rule. By changing to will result in removal from the election it creates a penalty for not following the rules the BOG have created. | | | | |
| Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): | | | | |
| Committee Action: Accepted as Drafted Accepted as | s Revised Rejected Withdrawn | | | |
| Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: Kevin suggested that there should a clear path to what to do – like allegations should be initially investigated by the election ordinate in conjunction with Division Legal and a recommendation be made to the election committee should take action on the allegation. | | | | |
| Final Board Action: Adopted as Reported | opted as Amended | | | |
| Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For -0 | Against -8 Abstain -0 | | | |

JT will revisit the proposal and discuss with CD Legal Advisor

Proposal Number: S11 P004 **NSP PROPOSAL FORM** Revised 01/97 Do Not Use Previous Versions Assigned Committee: The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s). Proposed by: John Thomas Position: Western Region Director Date: 3/17/2011 Proposed by: Position: Date: I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: Staff comments (if any) attached □Nat. Pgm. Director: □Nat. Office Staff: ☐ National Staff: XOthers: Western Region SEM staff The following references are relevant to this proposal: ■NSP Strategic Plan: NSP Policies & Procedures: I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): The Executive Director: agrees with proposal expense estimate, **OR** anticipates the following expenses: Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): See excel attachment: This is a change to the Senior manual page 53. Overview is add the check box to make following the scenario easier and have less writing and less error that a candidate did or didn't request an item. Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): The board approved form changes to be made by the Senior Supervisor with approval from the Supervisors of the discipline involved, so this proposal does not need to be taken to the board. Committee Action: Accepted as Drafted Accepted as Revised Rejected ⊠Withdrawn Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: Final Board Action: Adopted as Reported Adopted as Amended Defeated

Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For -

Abstain -

Against -

Proposal Number: S11 P005 NSP PROPOSAL FORM Revised 01/97 Do Not Use Previous Versions Assigned Committee: The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s). Position: Division Election Coordinator Proposed by: Ken Meldahl Date: 3/20/2011 I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: Staff comments (if any) attached ☐Nat. Pgm. Director: Nat. Office Staff: National Staff: Others: The following references are relevant to this proposal: NSP Bylaws: ■ NSP Strategic Plan: NSP Policies & Procedures: Central Division I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) Short-Term (this fiscal year): □Long-Term (sustained expenses): The Executive Director: agrees with proposal expense estimate, **OR** anticipates the following expenses: Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): Page 12 DIVISION ELECTION COORDINATOR Accept and review all nominations for Region Director and Division Director. All nominations shall be given a qualification review and validation within 72 hours of receipt of the nomination. Collect nominations, resumes and positions statements- from all Region and Division Director elections. All nominations shall be given a qualification review...... <u>b</u> e Page 13 Region Director election schedule: DEC to send Election Announcement and reminder request to Region Directors, Section Chiefs and November 15 Patrol Representatives for submittal of Registration Unit level electors' names, mailing addresses and email addresses* Division Director election schedule: November 15 DEC to send Election Announcement and reminder request to Region Directors, Section Chiefs and Patrol Representatives for submittal of Registration Unit level electors' names, mailing addresses and email addresses. Page 16 Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): 2. 4 a This is restated in the next step. In order to send out ballots, I need correct mailing addresses. Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): Committee Action: Accepted as Drafted Accepted as Revised Rejected ☐Withdrawn Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: Friendly Amend the proposal. 2 4b "from" should be "for", David D SECOND: John T Approved by Ken Final Board Action: Adopted as Reported Adopted as Amended Defeated

| vote on Final Consideration (if vote count take | n): For -8 Against - | u Abstain -u | | | |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| Frank made the changes to the PnF | 4/16/11 | | | | |
| NSP PROPOSAL FO | | Proposal Number: S1 | 1 P006 | | |
| Revised 01/97 | | | | | |
| Do Not Use Previous Version | ons | Assigned Committee: | | | |
| The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for o | consideration by the NSP | Board of Directors in a | ccordance with the NSP | | |
| Policies & Procedures. This proposal has be | en researched, is subm | itted in final wording wi | th all applicable boxes | | |
| completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National | | | | | |
| Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <i>I (we) understand</i> | | | | | |
| that incomplete proposal forms will be returned | | or, both long and offort to | ini. 1 (we) anderotana | | |
| Proposed by: Jay Zedak | Position: Central Division | on Senior Program | Date: 4-5-11 | | |
| I (wa) have consulted the following people is di | Supervisor | Otalf commants (if any) |) attached | | |
| (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: ⊠Staff comments (if any) attached □Nat. Pgm. Director: □Nat. Office Staff: | | | | | |
| National Staff: Others: Region Directors, Assistant Div Director, Div Director | | | | | |
| The following references are relevant to this pro- | | _ | | | |
| | □NSP Bylaws: □NSP Strategic Plan: □NSP Policies & Procedures: | | | | |
| I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and h Short-Term (this fiscal year):adding carbon p | | tails and an explanation Long-Term (su | | | |
| expenses): | Daper Ionnis | □Long-Term (30 | Stairled | | |
| The Executive Director: ☐agrees with propose | · | - | wing expenses: | | |
| Short-Term (this fiscal year): | | sustained expenses): | | | |
| Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessa provisions, show changes with added words underlined to the control of the c | | | | | |
| - | , | 0 , | | | |
| See Below: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach | additional pages as nece | essarv): | | | |
| | | | | | |
| In effort to assure written feedback is provided to all S candidate is successful at meeting the Division Standar | | | | | |
| staff that might otherwise be forgotten and or not fully | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| In addition, copies shall be retained by the Region Event Chair and the final copy will be forwarded to the Division Program Supervisor to establish focus points for future training for program improvement. Candidate and Team Leader will sign documents to confirm | | | | | |
| receipt of information was passed. | | | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): | | | | | |
| Committee Revision of Froposal (II any). | | | | | |
| The board approved form changes to be made by the Senior Supervisor with approval from the Supervisors of the | | | | | |
| discipline involved, so this proposal does not no | eed to be taken to the bo | oard. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Committee Action: Accepted as Drafted | Accepted as Revise | d Rejected | ⊠Withdrawn | | |
| | · | · | | | |
| Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Final Board Action: Adopted as Reported | ☐Adopted as | Amended | Defeated | | |
| Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taker | n): For - | Against - | Abstain - | | |

Proposal Number: S11 P007 **NSP PROPOSAL FORM** Revised 01/97 Do Not Use Previous Versions Assigned Committee: The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s). Proposed by: Jay Zedak Position: Central Division Senior Program Date: 4-5-2011 Supervisor I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: Staff comments (if any) attached Nat. Pgm. Director: Nat. Office Staff: ☑Others: AASI Level 2's, ADD, Region Tob Advisors, NSP-C Ski ■National Staff: School Advisor The following references are relevant to this proposal: ■NSP Strategic Plan: NSP Bylaws: NSP Policies & Procedures: I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) ☐Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): The Executive Director: agrees with proposal expense estimate, **OR** anticipates the following expenses: ☐ Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): Adding a Snowboarding Card to the Central Division Senior Alpine Program, see the attached card Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): making a Snowboarding Specific Score Card adds legitimacy to the riders within the program. Currently the only score card being used speaks to parallel turns using both feet simultaneously which is not realistic for a snowboarder to accomplish at this level. Simultaneously tipping both feet onto edge, creates a carved turn rather than a skidded turn. This standard is an expectation beyond the skill set currently being required for an Alpine Senior Skier. Establishing a Snowboard Specific Score Card, with specific wording for snowboarders will equal the standards expected for an Alpine Riding Candidate to that of her/his fellow Alpine patrollers on skis. Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): The board approved form changes to be made by the Senior Supervisor with approval from the Supervisors of the discipline involved, so this proposal does not need to be taken to the board. Rejected Withdrawn Committee Action: Accepted as Drafted Accepted as Revised Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: Final Board Action: Adopted as Reported Adopted as Amended Defeated

Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For -

Abstain -

Against -

Proposal Number: S11 P008 **NSP PROPOSAL FORM** Revised 01/97 Do Not Use Previous Versions Assigned Committee: The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s). Proposed by: Tony Wolfer Position: Western Region Director Date: 4/8/2011 Proposed by: Position: Date: I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: Staff comments (if any) attached □Nat. Pgm. Director: Nat. Office Staff: ☐ National Staff: ☐ Others: Western Region SEM staff The following references are relevant to this proposal: Central Division Senior Manual ■NSP Strategic Plan: NSP Policies & Procedures: I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): The Executive Director: agrees with proposal expense estimate, **OR** anticipates the following expenses: ☐ Short-Term (this fiscal year): Long-Term (sustained expenses): Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): Senior Alpine Program Manual: "Examining teams during the Alpine Skiing/Snowboarding evaluation will be made up of NSP Minimum Senior level classification members with experience in Senior evaluation, of whom at least 1 of the 3 evaluators are current PSIA or AASI Certified level 2 or higher. If requested by the Region, the NSP-C Ski School will provide evaluators who are current PSIA/AASI Certified Level 2 or 3". Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): Add the successful ASE program participants to the qualified lead evaluators for senior Alpine Skiing/Snowboarding. Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): Committee Action: Accepted as Drafted Rejected Withdrawn Accepted as Revised Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: JT asked for an amendment. Change to 'Senior evaluation team is made up of at least 3 evaluators that are current Accredited Senior Evaluator (ASE), PSIA or AASI (Level 2 or level 3) and one of the three evaluators must be current PSIA or AASI Certified level 2 or higher. If requested by the Region, the NSP-C Ski School will provide evaluators who are current PSIA/AASI Certified Level 2 or 3'. Amendment approved by Derek who brought the proposal to the board. Final Board Action: Adopted as Reported Adopted as Amended Defeated Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For -5 Against -3 Abstain -0 Derek, Bob L and Tony W recorded as opposed