

| | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|
| NSP PROPOSAL FORM <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions | | Proposal Number: S10 P001 |
| | | Assigned Committee: |
| The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i> | | |
| Proposed by: DARCY Hanley | Position: Division Senior Program Supervisor | Date: March 13, 2010 |
| I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> Others: | | |
| The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSP Policies & Procedures: | | |
| I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | |
| The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | |
| Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): This proposal is for the implementation of Accredited Senior Evaluator. The information would be inserted on page 9 of the CD Senior Manual following the Examiner Development. See attachment for detailed wording. | | |
| Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): The Accredited Senior Evaluator has been developed because senior evaluators across the Central Division are required to evaluate ski/riding at the senior level. The senior evaluator is required to ski/ride at the minimum of the senior level and be able to demonstrate at the Senior level. This benchmark is not being met by all senior evaluators. This was demonstrated by participants at the Division STW's at sessions covering : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the bridging pilot • video analysis • the senior scorecard And through the annual senior QA of actual senior evaluations | | |
| Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): | | |
| Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn | | |
| Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: | | |
| Final Board Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated | | |
| Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - 8 Against - 0 Abstain - 0 | | |

Darcy will change the Senior Manual

Accredited Senior Evaluator/Trainer for Skiing/Riding (ASE)

(All current CD evaluators)

Components:

- Must be able to provide evaluation demonstrations of the senior level skills as listed on the CD Senior scorecard.
- Personally performs effective Ski /board movements at or above the senior level
- Assessment of technical knowledge using current PSIA Technical Manual for ASEA
- Provide information about the senior evaluation and the successful use of the senior ski/board scorecard
- Assessment of Skiing/riding movement analysis of video or live demos
- Ability to provide feedback and corrective suggestions in a positive manner

ASE is only awarded upon completion and maintenance of all the requirements. It is not a recognition of attendance or a life long grant. Continuing education requirements must be achieved to retain accreditation.

Budgets:

- Cost for Accredited Senior Evaluator \$30.00 per participant per day for the two day event

Administrative requirements:

- Staff for two day event at each of the three Division STW's
- Group size is 8 ASE candidates per two instructors at Division STW's/unscheduled events
- Printing
- Cost for STW/Unscheduled Region events for 2 instructors (\$700)
- Presenting Staff will be PSIA Level III certified, NSP-C ski school members
- Division STW attendance every three years

Program Participant Recommendations:

- Highly recommended to be a current member of NSP-C ski school
- PSIA certification recommended
- Completion of NSP Instructor Development

| | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| NSP PROPOSAL FORM <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions | | Proposal Number: S10 P002 |
| | | Assigned Committee: |
| The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i> | | |
| Proposed by: Rose DeJarnette | Position: CD Auxiliary Supervisor | Date: 2/28/2010 |
| I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others: Darcy Hanley, CD Senior Advisor | | |
| The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSP Policies & Procedures:17.3 | | |
| I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year):NONE <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):NONE | | |
| The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | |
| Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): (See next page) | | |
| Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): To Date, more alpine patrollers have taken the Aid Room Management Module than Auxiliary Patrollers. This module with further development could provide all patrollers with the added ability of managing a crowded Aid Room and other related disaster management skills. As the module is developed further, the advantages for every patroller will grow. There is no reason why such an experience should be of credit to only the auxiliary patroller. Every patroller who takes the ARM should receive credit. Making it a senior elective would provide that credit to all patrollers as well as encourage participation. | | |
| Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): | | |
| Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn | | |
| Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: | | |
| Final Board Action: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated | | |
| Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - 8 Against - 0 Abstain -0 | | |

Jim will take proposal S10 P002 to the National board

National P&P

Current: 17.3 Senior Program

17.3.1 Senior Core and Elective Components

B. Senior elective list

1. Education Courses

- a. Instructor Development course
- b. Mountain Travel and Rescue Fundamentals course or Mountain Travel and Rescue 1 course
- c. Mountain Travel and Rescue 2 course (required for Senior Nordic candidates)
- d. Introduction to Avalanche Safety and Rescue
- e. Standard or Level 1 Avalanche course or Level 1 Avalanche for Rescue Personnel course.
- f. Level 2 Avalanche for Rescue Personnel course
- g. Powderfall
- h. National Avalanche School didactic sessions
- i. National Avalanche School field sessions
- j. Skiing Enhancement Seminar
- k. Ski Trainer's Workshop
- l. Toboggan Enhancement Seminar
- m. OEC Enhancement Seminar (two modules equal one Senior elective)

Proposed 17.3 Senior Program

17.3.1 Senior Core and Elective Components

B. Senior elective list

1. Education Courses

- a. Instructor Development course
- b. Mountain Travel and Rescue Fundamentals course or Mountain Travel and Rescue 1 course
- c. Mountain Travel and Rescue 2 course (required for Senior Nordic candidates)
- d. Introduction to Avalanche Safety and Rescue
- e. Standard or Level 1 Avalanche course or Level 1 Avalanche for Rescue Personnel course.
- f. Level 2 Avalanche for Rescue Personnel course
- g. Powderfall
- h. National Avalanche School didactic sessions
- i. National Avalanche School field sessions
- j. Skiing Enhancement Seminar
- k. Ski Trainer's Workshop
- l. Toboggan Enhancement Seminar
- m. OEC Enhancement Seminar (two modules equal one Senior elective)
- n. **Aid Room Management Module**

| | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| NSP PROPOSAL FORM <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions | | Proposal Number: S10 P004 |
| | | Assigned Committee: |
| The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i> | | |
| Proposed by: Rose DeJarnette | Position: CD Auxiliary Supervisor | Date: 2/28/2010 |
| I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others: Darcy Hanley CD Senior Advisor | | |
| The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSP Policies & Procedures:17.3.4 | | |
| I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year):NONE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):NONE | | |
| The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | |
| Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): See attached articles 12.3.2 and 17.3.4. | | |
| Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): Currently no continuing education is being required of either Alpine seniors or senior Auxiliary patrollers. It should be removed from the P&P if it is not going to be followed Please note changes to the following articles: 12.3.2 and 17.3.4. | | |
| Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): | | |
| Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn | | |
| Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: | | |
| Final Board Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defeated | | |
| Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - 2 Against - 6 Abstain -0 | | |

National P&P

CURRENT: 12.3.2

The Patroller Enrichment Seminar provides future NSP leaders with an understanding of the organization and leadership training. This seminar qualifies as one of three required senior candidate electives.

- A. Prerequisite: Preparatory work of study activities
 - B. Time commitment: One day (four modules—approximately two hours each)
 - C. Fee: National—none; Division—varies; Local—varies
 - D. Instructor of record: PES instructor or Instructor Development instructor
 - E. Credential: NSP Certificate of Achievement
 - F. **Continuing education requirement: Recertification requirement only for senior auxiliary**
 - G. Required materials: The Ski Patroller's Manual
 - H. Instructor required materials: Patroller Enrichment Seminar Course Outline for Instructors, NSP Policies and Procedures
 - I. Reference texts and visuals: To be determined
- NSP Policies and Procedures 128 8/06

Current 17.3.4 Continuing Education

- A. To maintain Senior classification, Patrollers must complete national or Division authorized clinics once every three years. Patrollers will be given performance feedback during these clinics. Participation in these clinics fulfills the requirement; participants do not need to meet a performance standard.
- B. To maintain the Senior Auxiliary classification, Senior Auxiliary Patrollers are required to meet the continuing education requirement once every three years by retaking the aid room module or serving as an evaluator, advocate, or trainer for this module at least once every three years.

PROPOSED: 12.3.2

The Patroller Enrichment Seminar provides future NSP leaders with an understanding of the organization and leadership training. This seminar qualifies as one of three required senior candidate electives.

- A. Prerequisite: Preparatory work of study activities
 - B. Time commitment: One day (four modules—approximately two hours each)
 - C. Fee: National—none; Division—varies; Local—varies
 - D. Instructor of record: PES instructor or Instructor Development instructor
 - E. Credential: NSP Certificate of Achievement
 - F. ~~Continuing education requirement: Recertification requirement only for senior auxiliary~~
 - G. Required materials: The Ski Patroller's Manual
 - H. Instructor required materials: Patroller Enrichment Seminar Course Outline for Instructors, NSP Policies and Procedures
 - I. Reference texts and visuals: To be determined
- NSP Policies and Procedures 128 8/06

Proposed 17.3.4 Continuing Education

- A. ~~There are no continuing Education requirements to maintain Senior Alpine or Senior Auxiliary Skill Classifications.~~

| | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|
| NSP PROPOSAL FORM <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions | | Proposal Number: S10 P005 |
| | | Assigned Committee: |
| The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i> | | |
| Proposed by: Ken Meldahl | Position: Central Division Election Coordinator | Date: 04/02/2010 |
| I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> Others: | | |
| The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CD P&P | | |
| I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year):\$0.00 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):\$0.00 | | |
| The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | |
| Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): Chapter 3 Division Elections Division Election Coordinator 2. 4.a. Accept and review all nominations for Region Director and Division Director. All nominations shall be given a qualification review and validation within 72 hours of receipt of the application-nomination. Timing: Region Directors: November 30 January 15 Region Director or Region Election Coordinator to provide DEC with list of Registration Unit level electors. * If not receive, these registration unit level ballots will not be sent. March 8 Last day to return ballots (if paper ballots are utilized, then postmarks will be used as cutoff date). If ballots are pre-stamped, then ballots received by March 10th will be counted. Division Directors: Same changes requested for Region Director election schedule. | | |
| Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): The first change is just word-smithing. The date change request is because many patrols have not met yet and this would give PR's more time to get this information. The DEC also should not need this information this early. The last change is mainly for those regions that use "one member, one vote" method of election and pre-stamp the ballots. If this is done, then there is no valid post-mark date so mail usually gets to the destination in a couple of days. | | |
| Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): | | |
| Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn | | |
| Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: | | |
| Final Board Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated | | |
| Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - 8 Against - 0 Abstain -0 | | |

Frank will change the PnP

| | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| NSP PROPOSAL FORM <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions | | Proposal Number: S10 P006 |
| | | Assigned Committee: |
| The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i> | | |
| Proposed by: Ken Meldahl | Position: Central Division Election Coordinator | Date: 04/02/2010 |
| I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> Others: | | |
| The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CD Bylaws | | |
| I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year):\$0.00 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):\$0.00 | | |
| The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | |
| Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): ARTICLE XI – Selection of Division Leaders Page 54 & 55 of 59: 11.3 Selection of Region Director 2. Selection of the Region Director shall be by election. The Division Election Coordinator who will be appointed by the Division Director shall administer the election, <i>unless the Region selects the “one member, one vote” method of election.</i> 8. Number of votes: A. 1. 3 rd paragraph—The names and addresses of these representatives shall be made known to the Division Election Coordinator no later than February 1st of each year <i>per schedule in the Central Division P&P Chapter 3</i> in which the Region Director is to be elected. Last paragraph—Whichever population is used, the election outcome will be determined by a simple majority of the votes cast. If a tie occurs it will be broken by the Division Director. | | |
| Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): 1 st change: The Division Election Coordinator monitors but does not administer a “one member, one vote” election. 2 nd change: The date is redundant as it is in the election schedule in Chapter 3 of the P&P. Also it will be easier to change if necessary if not found in the Division Bylaws. 3 rd change: This line is unnecessary as the same information is listed in 8. C & D. | | |
| Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): | | |
| Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn | | |
| Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: | | |
| Final Board Action: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated | | |
| Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - 8 Against - 0 Abstain -0 | | |

Bylaws change: this is the 1st Reading 4/10/10

| | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| NSP PROPOSAL FORM <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions | | Proposal Number: S10 P008 |
| | | Assigned Committee: |
| The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. <i>I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).</i> | | |
| Proposed by: Kevin K. McQuillan | Position: Central Division Legal | Date: 4-8-10 |
| I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> Others: | | |
| The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSP Policies & Procedures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Central Division Bylaws, Exh. B | | |
| I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | |
| The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses): | | |
| Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): Proposed deletion of Article XI Grievance Procedures of the Bylaws, references in the Division Bylaws to removal from office as detailed in the National Ski Patrol's Code of Conduct and removal of Appendix B of the Central Division Bylaws, eliminate 7.2.2 Standards of Conduct A.2, 7 th bullet point and B, 4 th bullet point, 7.2.3 Disciplinary Procedures (and 7.2.4 Member's Right to Remove a Board Member). | | |
| Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): This is to set forth to eliminate an archaic, military style grievance and appeal procedures that are employed by a handful of members but at disproportionately substantial time and expense. This is a volunteer organization and disciplinary issues should be handled at the area's patrol level only. | | |
| Committee Revision of Proposal (if any): | | |
| Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn | | |
| Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes: | | |
| Final Board Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated | | |
| Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - <input type="checkbox"/> Against - <input type="checkbox"/> Abstain - <input type="checkbox"/> | | |