

NSP PROPOSAL FORM <i>Revised 01/97</i> Do Not Use Previous Versions		Proposal Number: F09 P006
		Assigned Committee:
The proposal maker(s) submit this proposal for consideration by the NSP Board of Directors in accordance with the NSP Policies & Procedures. This proposal has been researched, is submitted in final wording with all applicable boxes completed and information provided. I (We) have contacted and discussed this proposal with the appropriate National Program Director or other staff and/or NSP Department Director prior to submitting the proposal to the national office. I (We) have indicated how I (we) believe this proposal will impact the budget, both long- and short-term. I (we) understand that incomplete proposal forms will be returned to the maker(s).		
Proposed by: Chris Moe-Herlick	Position: Past Central Division Senior Advisor, PSIA 3 Certified	Date: 9/01/09
I (we) have consulted the following people in drafting this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> Staff comments (if any) attached <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Pgm. Director: <input type="checkbox"/> Nat. Office Staff: <input type="checkbox"/> National Staff: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others: Linda Jacobs, Phil Montville, Bob Lechtanski, Chuck Martschinke, John Thomas		
The following references are relevant to this proposal: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Bylaws: <input type="checkbox"/> NSP Strategic Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CD Policies & Procedures: Sr. Manual		
I (We) anticipate the following expenses, and have attached budget details and an explanation (as necessary) <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
The Executive Director: <input type="checkbox"/> agrees with proposal expense estimate, OR <input type="checkbox"/> anticipates the following expenses: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-Term (this fiscal year): <input type="checkbox"/> Long-Term (sustained expenses):		
Proposal Text (attach additional pages as necessary; for bylaw, P&P, or other amendments of existing provisions, show changes with added words underlined, and deleted words with a line through them): Proposed changes for the Senior manual Alpine and Skiing and Snowboarding Pg. 18, fourth paragraph: "Examining teams during the Alpine Skiing/Snowboarding evaluation will be made up of at least three NSP Senior level classification members with experience in Senior evaluation. Two Members will be PSIA Credentialed. At least one of the three examiners shall be PSIA or AASI Certified Level two or higher, with other non-PSIA/AASI evaluators having successfully completed a Central Division examiners apprentice program. The third member must be a current trainer/evaluator at the local region. If requested by the Region, the NSP-C ski School will provide evaluators who are current PSIA/AASI Certified Level 2 or 3.		
Proposal Explanation and Justification (attach additional pages as necessary): This proposal is to ensure that evaluators are minimum current Senior Patrollers with evaluating experience at the Senior level, and to allow flexibility in that non PSIA Senior evaluators are still able to evaluate skiing/snowboarding in their Region's Senior Evaluation. The current verbiage does not allow this flexibility and thus is eliminating qualified evaluators. By having a minimum requirement of at least one of the three evaluators having current level 2 or 3 PSIA/AASI Certification allows the ability to provide specific skill development feedback for the candidate. Also, see the attachment below:		
Committee Revision of Proposal (if any):		
Committee Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Drafted <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted as Revised <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn		
Committee Chairman Explanation and Votes:		
Final Board Action: <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Reported <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adopted as Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Defeated		
Vote on Final Consideration (if vote count taken): For - 7 Against - 1 Abstain -0		

~~Bridging Process: Verification Program for Ski/Snowboard Senior Evaluator~~

~~The objective of the alpine ski/snowboard evaluator bridging process is a verification process for an active senior evaluator, without PSIA certification, who has a desire to continue as a senior ski/snowboard evaluator. Upon completion of the senior evaluator verification the evaluator may continue to function in their role on the evaluation team, as long as they maintain the examiner program requirements.~~

~~The senior evaluator bridging process, as recommended for development by the Division board in Sept. 09, will be defined and presented to the board for approval at the April 2010 board meeting. Implementation and timeline will be activated in the 2010-11 ski season. Calendars and budgets are already in place for the 2009-10 season and trying to rush the development of this program would be an injustice to the program itself, to the participants who are interested in it and to the Division staff who are already committed to a full season of events. There will, however, be a focus session presented at the Division STW's in December that will highlight the bridging program.~~

~~The examiner apprentice requirements are:~~

- ~~● At least a senior level patroller.~~
- ~~● Recognized by the home region as a senior level ski examiner.~~
- ~~● Must have excellent communication skills and be able to provide feedback to the candidate in a positive and constructive manner using current terminology and methodology.~~
- ~~● A member of the NSP-C ski school.~~
- ~~● Able to demonstrate senior level Alpine skills on senior level terrain. (The ski evaluation will be done by two level 3 Division staff members and a PSIA Ed staff member reaching consensus on performance.)~~
- ~~● Have comprehensive knowledge of the contents of the Central Division Senior manual.~~
- ~~● Must complete a video analysis and error recognition program with 80% performance on a standardized video.~~

~~Attendance at a Division STW senior examiners clinic is required to maintain senior alpine and Senior Evaluators Ski/snowboard examiner status.~~

~~The bridging program will be in place for two ski seasons, (2010/11 and 2011/12). The region will be required to submit a list of the eligible candidates to the Division Senior Program Supervisor at the beginning of the 2010/11 ski season, by first schedule Division sponsored Ski Trainers Workshop. —~~

~~Examiner candidates may attempt senior examiner verification, in two consecutive seasons. Examiner candidates that are unsuccessful following two attempts at verification will be not be included in the bridging process and will need to attain Senior Examiner status following the 2011/12 season when the new verification process is instituted. Examiner candidates who choose to participate in only the final year of the bridging program will have one attempt at completion. Once the bridging process is concluded at the end of the 2011/12 ski season the Division Senior Program Supervisor will propose to the board a complete rework of the Senior Ski/Snowboard Examiner mentoring process that will define the development of new senior ski/snowboard evaluators that does not require PSIA certification. Projected cost of the examiner verification event will be \$100.00 per individual.~~

~~Examiner Apprentice Program for Skiing:~~

- ~~• Must be at least a Senior level patroller~~
- ~~• Must have excellent communication skills and be able to provide feedback to the candidate in a positive and constructive manner~~
- ~~• NSP Central Division Ski School member~~
- ~~• Demonstrates Senior level alpine skills (all terrain)~~
- ~~• Demonstrates comprehensive knowledge of the contents of the Central Division Senior manual~~
- ~~• Completes video analysis of skier performance (80% on a standardized video)~~

~~The apprentice examiner will attend the Division STW examiner apprentice qualification clinic and receive passing (80%) scores on all components. They will attend a Division STW Senior workshop every three years and be active in the Senior program to maintain their examiner status.~~